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Foreword

This document (EN 779:2002) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 195 "Air filters for general air cleaning", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by May 2003, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by May 2003.

This European Standard deals with the performance testing of particulate air filters for general ventilation and supersedes EN 779:1993, which describes an obsolete test method.

EN 779 is based on the test method according to Eurovent 4/9:1997. In addition, it contains extensive test rig qualification procedures together with procedures which give some information regarding the real life behaviour of particulate air filters (see "Introduction").

Annex A is normative. Annexes B to E are informative.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.
Introduction

General

The procedures described in this standard have been developed from those given in EN 779:1993 and Eurovent 4/9:1997. The basic design of test rig given in EN 779:1993 is retained with the exception of the “dust-spot” atmospheric aerosol opacity test equipment. Instead, a challenge aerosol of DEHS (or equivalent) is dispersed evenly across the duct upstream of the filter being tested. Representative upstream and downstream samples are analysed by an optical particle counter (OPC) to provide filter particle size efficiency data.

Classification

The EN 779:1993 classification system (comprising groups F and G filters) has been retained; classification is now determined from the average filtration efficiency with respect to liquid DEHS particles of 0.4 µm diameter. Classification of F filters is based on performance with respect to 0.4 µm particles because of practical evidence that the EN 779:1993 classification based on the “dust-spot” opacity test is very closely matched. Filters found to have an average efficiency value of less than 40% will be allocated to group G and the efficiency reported as “< 40%”. The classification of G filters is based on their average arrestance with the loading dust.

Test aerosol

A challenge aerosol of DEHS (or equivalent) was chosen for the efficiency test for the following reasons:

— experience has already been gained by users of the Eurovent 4/9 test method so that much suitable equipment already exists;

— liquid aerosols are easy to generate in the concentrations, size range and degree of consistency required;

— the DEHS could be used as a neutral test aerosol without charge or be charged to the Boltzmann equilibrium charge level. In this standard the aerosol should be brought to the Boltzmann charge distribution;

— spherical latex particles are used to calibrate particle counters. The determination of the particle size of spherical liquid particles using optical particle counters is more accurate than would be the case with solid particles of nonspherical salt and test dusts.

The aerosol should be brought to the Boltzmann charge distribution to represent the charge distribution of aged ambient atmospheric aerosol.

Filtration characteristics

Initiatives to address the potential problems of particle re-entrainment, shedding and the in-service charge neutralisation characteristics of certain types of media have been included in annexes A and B.

Certain types of filter media rely on electrostatic effects to achieve high efficiencies at low resistance to air flow. Exposure to some types of challenge, such as combustion particles in normal atmospheric air or oil mist, may neutralise such charges with the result that filter performance suffers. It is important that the users are aware of the potential for performance degradation when loss of charge occurs. It is also important that means be available for identifying cases where the potential exists. The normative test procedure, described in annex A, provides techniques for identifying this type of behaviour. This procedure is used to determine whether the filter efficiency is dependent on the electrostatic removal mechanism and to provide quantitative information about the importance of the electrostatic removal.

In an ideal filtration process, each particle would be permanently arrested at the first contact with a filter fibre, but incoming particles may impact on a captured particle and dislodge it into the air stream. Fibres or particles from the filter itself could also be released, due to mechanical forces. From the user’s point of view it might be important to
know this, but such behaviour would probably not be detected by a particle counter system according to this stan-
dard.

1 Scope

This European Standard refers to particulate air filters for general ventilation. These filters are classified according
to their performance as measured in this test procedure.

This European Standard contains requirements to be met by particulate air filters. It describes testing methods and
the test rig for measuring filter performance.

In order to obtain results for comparison and classification purposes, particulate air filters are tested against two
synthetic aerosols, a fine aerosol for measurement of filtration efficiency as a function of particle size within a parti-
cle size range 0.2 µm to 3.0 µm, and a coarse one for obtaining information about dust holding capacity and, in the
case of coarse filters, filtration efficiency with respect to coarse loading dust (arrestance).

This European Standard applies to air filters having an initial efficiency of less than 98 % with respect to 0.4 µm
particles. Filters should be tested at an air flow rate between 0.24 m³/s (850 m³/h) and 1.5 m³/s (5 400 m³/h).

The performance results obtained in accordance with this standard cannot by themselves be quantitatively applied
to predict performance in service with regard to efficiency and lifetime. Other factors influencing performance to be
taken into account are described in annex A (normative) and annex B (informative).

2 Normative references

This European Standard incorporates by dated or undated reference, provisions from other publications. These
normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text, and the publications are listed hereafter. For
dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this European
Standard only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the
publication referred to applies (including amendments).

EN 1822-1, High efficiency air filters (HEPA and ULPA) - Part 1: Classification, performance testing, marking.


ISO 2854, Statistical interpretation of data - Techniques of estimation and tests relating to means and variances.

ISO 12103-1, Road vehicles - Test dust for filter evaluation - Part 1: Arizona test dust.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this European Standard, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1 arrestance
weighted (mass) removal of loading dust (expressed in %)

3.2 average arrestance
ratio of the total amount of loading dust retained by the filter to the total amount of dust fed up to final pressure
drop. Average arrestance is used for classification of G-filters (expressed in %)

3.3 average efficiency - $E_m$
weighted average of the efficiencies for the different specified dust loading levels up to final pressure drop. Average
efficiency is used for classification of F-filters (expressed in %)
3.4 **average efficiency -** $E_{ij}$
average efficiency for a size range "i" at different dust loading intervals "j" (expressed in %)

3.5 **charged filter**
filter which is electrostatically charged or polarised

3.6 **coarse filter**
filter classified in one of the classes G1 to G4

3.7 **counting rate**
number of counting events per unit of time

3.8 **DEHS**
liquid (DiEthylHexylSebacate) for generating the test aerosol

3.9 **dust holding capacity**
amount of loading dust retained by the filter up to final pressure drop (expressed in grams)

3.10 **face area**
area of the inside section of the test duct immediately upstream of the filter under test (nominal values $0.61 \times 0.61 = 0.37 \text{ m}^2$)

3.11 **face velocity**
air flow rate divided by the face area (expressed in m/s)

3.12 **final filter**
air filter used to collect the loading dust passing the filter under test

3.13 **final pressure drop - recommended**
maximum operating pressure drop of the filter as recommended by the manufacturer at rated air flow (expressed in Pa)

3.14 **final pressure drop**
pressure drop up to which the filtration performance is measured for classification purposes (expressed in Pa)

3.15 **fine filter**
filter classified in one of the classes F5 to F9

3.16 **HEPA filter**
High Efficiency Particulate Air Filter, classes H10 to H14 according to EN 1822-1. A filter intended to purify the air upstream of the test circuit

3.17 **ULPA filter**
Ultra Low Penetration Air Filter, classes U15 to U17 according to EN 1822-1
3.18

**initial arrestance**
arrestance of the first 30 g loading dust increment (expressed in %)

3.19

**initial efficiency**
efficiency of the clean filter operating at the test air flow rate (expressed in % for each size range of selected particles)

3.20

**initial pressure drop**
pressure drop of the clean filter operating at its test air flow rate (expressed in Pa)

3.21

**isokinetic sampling**
sampling of the air within a duct such the probe inlet air velocity is the same as the velocity in the duct at the sampling point

3.22

**loading dust**
synthetic test dust specifically formulated for determining the dust holding capacity and arrestance of the filter

3.23

**mean diameter**
geometric average of the size range diameter (expressed in µm)

3.24

**media velocity**
air flow rate divided by the net effective filtering area (expressed in m/s to an accuracy of three significant figures)

3.25

**net effective filtering area**
area of filter medium in the filter which collects dust (expressed in m²)

3.26

**neutralisation**
bringing the aerosol to a Boltzmann charge distribution (same amount of positive as negative ions in the aerosol)

3.27

**particle bounce**
it describes the behaviour of particles that impinge on the filter without being retained

3.28

**particle size**
equivalent optical diameter of a particle

3.29

**particle number concentration**
number of particles per unit of volume of the test air

3.30

**penetration**
ratio of the particle concentration downstream to upstream of the filter (expressed in %)

3.31

**re-entrainment**
releasing to the air flow of particles previously collected on the filter
3.32 shedding
releasing to the air flow of particles due to particle bounce and re-entrainment effects, and to the release of fibres or particulate matter from the filter or filtering material

3.33 synthetic test dust
dust specifically formulated for determining the dust holding capacity and arrestance of the filter

3.34 test air flow rate
volumetric rate of air flow through the filter under test (expressed in m³/s for a reference air density of 1.20 kg/m³)

3.35 test aerosol
aerosol used for determining the efficiency of the filter

3.36 test air
air to be used for testing purposes

4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

For the application of this European Standard, the following symbols and abbreviated terms apply.

- \( A \) Arrestance
- \( A_j \) Arrestance in loading phase \( j \), %
- \( A_m \) Average arrestance during test to final pressure drop, %
- \( CL \) Concentration limits of particle counter
- \( CV \) Coefficient of variation
- \( CV_i \) Coefficient of variation in size range \( i \)
- \( DHC \) Dust holding capacity, g
- \( d_i \) Size range diameter or mean diameter, µm
- \( d_l \) Lower border diameter in a size range, µm
- \( d_u \) Upper border diameter in a size range, µm
- \( E_i \) Initial efficiency, %
- \( E_{i,j} \) The average efficiency for size range \( i \) after dust loading phase \( j \), %
- \( E_{m,i} \) Average efficiency of size range \( i \) during test up to final pressure drop, %
- \( E_m \) Average efficiency of 0.4 µm particles during test up to final pressure drop (used for classification), %
- \( \bar{E} \) Average efficiency, %
- F5 to F9 Fine filter classes
- G1 to G4 Coarse filter classes
- \( M_j \) Mass of dust fed to the filter during loading phase \( j \), g
- mean Mean value
- mean\(_i\) Mean value in size range \( i \)
- \( m_d \) Dust in duct after filter, g
$m_j$ Mass of dust passing the filter at the dust loading phase $j$, g

$m_{\text{tot}}$ Cumulative mass of dust fed to filter, g

$m_1$ Mass of final filter before dust increment, g

$m_2$ Mass of final filter after dust increment, g

$N_i$ Number of particles in size range $i$ upstream of the filter

$n$ Number of points

$n_i$ Number of particles in size range $i$ downstream of the filter

OPC Optical particle counter

$p$ Pressure, Pa

$p_a$ Absolute air pressure upstream of filter, kPa

$p_{\text{st}}$ Air flow meter static pressure, kPa

$q_m$ Mass flow rate at air flow meter, kg/s

$q_v$ Air flow rate at filter, m$^3$/s

$q_{vf}$ Air flow rate at air flow meter, m$^3$/s

$t$ Temperature upstream of filter, °C

$t_f$ Temperature at air flow meter, °C

$t(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2})$ Distribution variable

$U$ Uncertainty, % units

$\delta$ Standard deviation

$\nu$ Number of degrees of freedom

$\rho$ Air density of air, kg/m$^3$

$\varphi$ Relative humidity upstream of filter, %

$\Delta m$ Dust increment, g

$\Delta m_{\text{fi}}$ Mass gain of final filter, g

$\Delta p$ Filter pressure drop, Pa

$\Delta p_{\text{st}}$ Air flow meter differential pressure, Pa

$\Delta p_{1,20}$ Filter pressure drop at air density 1.20 kg/m$^3$, Pa

ANSI American National Standards Institute

ASHRAE American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

CAS Chemical Abstracts

CEN European Committee for Standardisation

EN European Standard

EUROVENT European Committee of Air Handling and Refrigeration Equipment Manufacturers

ISO International Standards Organisation
5 Requirements

The filter shall be designed or marked so as to prevent incorrect mounting. The filter shall be designed so that when correctly mounted in the ventilation duct, no leak occurs at the sealing edge.

The complete filter (filter and frame) shall be made of material suitable to withstand normal usage and exposures to those temperatures, humidities and corrosive environments that are likely to be encountered.

The complete filter shall be designed so that it will withstand mechanical constraints that are likely to be encountered during normal use. Dust or fibres released from the filter media by air flow through the filter shall not constitute a hazard or nuisance for the people (or devices) exposed to filtered air.

6 Classification

Filters are classified according to their efficiency (arrestance) under the following test conditions:

- the air flow shall be 0.944 m$^3$/s (3 400 m$^3$/h) if the manufacturer does not specify any rated air flow rate;
- 250 Pa maximum final pressure drop for Coarse (G) filters;
- 450 Pa maximum final pressure drop for Fine (F) filters.

If the filters are tested at 0.944 m$^3$/s and at maximum final pressure drops, they are classified according to Table 1. For instance G3, F7.

Filters tested at airflows and final pressure drops different from those above shall be classified according to Table 1. The classification shall be qualified by test conditions in parentheses, e.g. G4 (0.7 m$^3$/s, 200 Pa), F7 (1.25 m$^3$/s).
Table 1 — Classification of air filters according to EN 779

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Final pressure drop (Pa)</th>
<th>Average arrestance ($A_m$) of synthetic dust</th>
<th>Average efficiency ($E_m$) of 0.4 µm particles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$50 \leq A_m &lt; 65$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$65 \leq A_m &lt; 80$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$80 \leq A_m &lt; 90$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$90 \leq A_m$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$40 \leq E_m &lt; 60$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$60 \leq E_m &lt; 80$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$80 \leq E_m &lt; 90$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$90 \leq E_m &lt; 95$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$95 \leq E_m$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE The characteristics of atmospheric dust vary widely in comparison with those of the synthetic loading dust used in the tests. Because of this the test results do not provide a basis for predicting either operational performance or life. Loss of media charge or shedding of particles or fibres can also adversely affect efficiency (see annexes A and B).

7 Test rig and equipment

7.1 Test conditions

Room air or outdoor air may be used as the test air source. Relative humidity shall be less than 75%. The exhaust flow may be discharged outdoors, indoors or recirculated. Requirements of certain measuring equipment may impose limits on the temperature of the test air.

Filtration of the exhaust flow is recommended when test aerosol and loading dust may be present.

7.2 Test rig

The test rig (see Figure 1) consists of several square duct sections with 610 mm × 610 mm nominal inner dimensions except for the section where the filter is installed. This section has nominal inner dimensions between 616 mm and 622 mm. The length of this duct section shall be at least 1.1 times the length of the filter, with a minimum length of 1 m.

The duct material shall be electrically conductive and electrically grounded, have a smooth interior finish and be sufficiently rigid to maintain its shape at the operating pressure. Smaller parts of the test duct could be made in glass or plastic to see the filter and equipment. Provision of windows to allow monitoring of test progress is desirable.

HEPA filters may be placed upstream of section 1, in which the aerosol for efficiency testing is dispersed and mixed to create a uniform concentration upstream the filter.

Section 2 includes in the upstream section the mixing orifice (10) in the centre of which the dust feeder discharge nozzle is located. Downstream of the dust feeder is a perforated plate (11) intended to achieve a uniform dust distribution. In the last third of this duct is the upstream aerosol sampling head. For arrestance tests, this sampling head shall be blanked off or removed.
To avoid turbulence, the mixing orifice and the perforated plate should be removed during the efficiency test. To avoid systematic error, removal of these items during pressure drop measurements is recommended.

Section 5 may be used for both efficiency and arrestance measurements and is fitted with a final filter for the arrestance test and with the downstream sampling head for the efficiency test. Section 5 could also be duplicated, allowing one part to be used for arrestance test and the other for the efficiency test.

The test rig can be operated either in both negative or positive pressure. In the case of positive pressure operation (i.e. the fan upstream the test rig), the test aerosol and loading dust could leak into the laboratory, while at negative pressure particles could leak into the test system and affect the number of measured particles.

The dimensions of the test rig and the position of the pressure taps are shown in Figure 2.

The pressure drop of the tested filter shall be measured using static pressure taps located as shown in Figure 2. Pressure taps shall be provided at four points over the periphery of the duct and connected together by a ring line.

Section 6 is fitted with a standardised air flow measuring device. If an alternative air flow measurement device is used, this section can be shortened.

---

**Figure 1** — Schematic diagram of the test rig

**Key**

1. Duct section of the test rig
2. Duct section of the test rig
3. Filter to be tested
4. Duct section including the filter to be tested
5. Duct section of the test rig
6. Duct section of the test rig
7. HEPA filter (at least H13)
8. Inlet point for DEHS particles
9. Dust injection nozzle
10. Mixing orifice
11. Perforated plate
12. Upstream sampling head
13. Downstream sampling head
Figure 2 — Dimensions of the test rig
Key

1. Mixing orifice
2. Perforated plate with Ø 152 mm ± 2 mm and 40 % open area
3. Pressure tap
4. Transition duct - test filter smaller than duct
5. Transition duct - test filter larger than duct

Figure 3 — Details of test duct components
7.3 Aerosol generation

7.3.1 DEHS Test Aerosol

The test aerosol described shall consist of untreated or undiluted DEHS. Any other aerosol proven to give equivalent performance may be used. Test aerosol of DEHS (DiEthylHexylSebacate) produced by a Laskin nozzle is widely used in performance testing of HEPA and ULPA filters.

Figure 4 gives an example of a system for generating the aerosol. It consists of a small container with DEHS liquid and a Laskin nozzle. The aerosol is generated by feeding compressed particle-free air through the Laskin nozzle. The atomised droplets are then directly introduced into the test rig. The pressure and air flow to the nozzle are varied according to the test flow and the required aerosol concentration. For a test flow of 0.944 m³/s the pressure is about 17 kPa, corresponding to an air flow of about 0.39 dm³/s (1.4 m³/h) through the nozzle.

Any other generator capable of producing droplets in sufficient concentrations in the size range of 0.2 µm to 3.0 µm can be used. One such generator is specified in the French standard NF X 44-060 and consists of two pressurised containers and a sonic atomiser fed by compressed air.

Before testing, regulate the upstream concentration to reach steady state and to have a concentration below the coincidence level of the particle counter.

7.3.2 Neutralisation (conditioning) of aerosol

The test aerosol shall be brought to a Boltzmann electrostatic charge distribution by contact with a beta or gamma radiation generator with an activity of at least 185 MBq (5 mCi), or by a corona discharge ionizer. The corona discharge ionizer shall have a minimum corona current of 3 µA and shall be balanced to provide equal amounts of positive and negative ions.
Key

1. Particle-free air (pressure about 17 kPa)
2. Aerosol to test rig
3. Laskin nozzle
4. Test aerosol (for instance DEHS)
5. Four 1.0 mm holes 90° apart top edge of holes and just touching the bottom of the collar
6. Four 2.0 mm holes next to tube in line with radial holes
7. Neutraliser

Figure 4 — DEHS particle generation system
7.4 Aerosol sampling system

Two rigid sample lines of equal length and equivalent geometry (bends and straight lengths) shall connect the upstream and downstream sampling heads to the particle counter. The sample tubes shall be electrically conducting or have a high dielectric constant and have a smooth inside surface (steel, tygon etc).

Tapered sampling probes are placed in the centre of the upstream and downstream measuring sections. The sampling heads shall be centrally located with the inlet tip facing the inlet of the rig parallel to the air flow. The sampling shall be isokinetic within 10 % at a test flow rate of 0.944 m³/s. Isokinetic sampling is also recommended at other test flows.

Three one-way valves make it possible to sample the aerosol upstream or downstream of the filter under test, or to have a “blank” suction through a HEPA filter. These valves shall be of a straight-through design. Due to possible particle losses from the sampling system, the first measurement after a valve is switched should be ignored.

The flow rate can be maintained by the pump in the counter in the case of a particle counter with a high flow rate (e.g. 0.47 dm³/s) or by an auxiliary pump in the case of a counter with smaller sample flow rates. The exhaust line shall then be fitted with an isokinetic sampling nozzle directly connected to the particle counter to achieve isokinetic conditions within a tolerance of ±10 %.

Particle losses will occur in the test duct, aerosol transport lines and particle counter. Minimisation of particle losses is desirable because a smaller number of counted particles will mean larger statistical errors and thus less accurate results. The influence of particle losses on the result is minimised if the upstream and downstream sampling losses are made as near equal as possible.
Figure 5 — Schematic diagram of the aerosol sampling system

Key
1 Filter
2 HEPA filter (clean air)
3 Valve, upstream
4 Valve, clean air
5 Valve, downstream
6 Computer
7 Particle counter
8 Pump
7.5 Flow measurement

Flow measurement shall be made by standardised flow measuring devices in accordance with EN ISO 5167-1. Examples are orifice plates, nozzles, Venturi tubes, etc.

The uncertainty of measurement shall not exceed 5 % of the measured value at 95 % confidence level.

7.6 Particle counter

This method requires the use of an optical particle counter (OPC) having a particle size range of at least 0.2 µm to 3.0 µm. The counting efficiency of the OPC shall be ≥ 50 % for 0.2 µm particles. The size range should be divided into at least five size classes, the boundaries of which should be approximately equidistant on a logarithmic scale.

Clause 8 contains further information and details about the calibration and operation of OPCs, which have to be used for this test.

7.7 Differential pressure measuring equipment

Measurements of pressure drop shall be taken between measuring points located in the duct wall as shown in Figure 2. Each measuring point shall comprise four interconnected static taps equally distributed around the periphery of the duct cross section.

The pressure measuring equipment used shall be capable of measuring pressure differences with an accuracy of ± 2 Pa in the range of 0 Pa to 70 Pa. Above 70 Pa, the accuracy shall be ± 3 % of the measured value.

7.8 Dust feeder

Any dust feeder can be chosen as long as it gives the same test result as the dust feeder described below. The purpose of the dust feeder is to supply the synthetic dust to the filter under test at a constant rate over the test period. A certain mass of dust previously weighed is loaded into the mobile dust feeder tray. The tray moves at a uniform speed and the dust is taken up by a paddle wheel and carried to the slot of the dust pickup tube of the ejector.

The ejector disperses the dust with compressed air and directs it into the test rig through the dust feed tube. The dust injection nozzle shall be positioned at the entrance of duct section 2 and be collinear with the duct centre line.

The compressed air shall be dry, clean and free from oil.

The general design of the dust feeder and its critical dimensions are given in Figure 6 and Figure 7. The angle between the dust pickup tube and dust feed trough is 90 ° in the figure but could be less in real application.

Backflow of air through the pickup tube from the positive duct pressure shall be prevented when the feeder is not in use.

The degree of dust dispersion by the feeder is dependent on the characteristics of the compressed air, the geometry of the aspirator assembly and the rate of air flow through the aspirator. The aspirator Venturi is subject to wear from the aspirated dust and will become enlarged with use. Its dimension shall be monitored periodically to ensure that the tolerances shown in Figure 7 are met.

The gauge pressure on the air line to the Venturi corresponding to an air flow of the dust-feeder pipe of 6.8 l/s ± 0.2 l/s shall be measured periodically for different pressure drops in the duct. See qualification of dust feeder.
Figure 6 — Critical dimensions of dust feeder assembly

Key

1 Dust feed tube (to inlet of test duct)
2 Thin-wall galvanised conduit
3 Venturi ejector
4 Ejector
5 Dry compressed air feed
6 Dust pickup tube (0,25 mm from dust feed tray)
7 Dust paddle wheel, Ø 88,9 mm (outer dimension), 114,3 mm long with 60 teeth 5 mm deep
8 Teeth in paddle wheel (60 teeth)
9 Dust feed tray
10 150 W infrared-reflector lamp
Figure 7 — Ejector, Venturi ejector and pickup details for the dust feeder

Dust pickup tube

Ejector

Venturi ejector

Tolerances:

- for integers: 0.8 mm
- for decimals: 0.03 mm
8 Qualification of test rig and apparatus

8.1 Air velocity uniformity in the test duct

The uniformity of the air velocity in the test duct shall be determined by measuring the velocity at nine points located as in Figure 8, immediately upstream the test filter section without filter and the mixing device. Measurements shall be made with an instrument having an accuracy of ± 10 % with a resolution of minimum 0,05 m/s.

Measurements shall be conducted at 0,25 m³/s, 1,0 m³/s and 1,5 m³/s. It is important that no significant disturbance of the air flow occurs (from instrument, operator, etc.) when measuring the velocities.

For each measurement, a sample time of at least 15 seconds shall be used. The average of three measurements shall be calculated for each of the nine points and the mean and the standard deviation shall be calculated from these nine values.

The coefficient of variation $CV$ shall be calculated as follows:

$$CV = \frac{\delta}{mean}$$

where

$\delta$ is the standard deviation of the nine measuring points;

$mean$ is the mean value of the nine measuring points.

The $CV$ shall be less than 10 % at each air flow.

8.2 Aerosol uniformity in the test duct

The uniformity of the challenge aerosol in the test duct shall be determined by measurements at nine points immediately upstream the filter. See Figure 8. The mixing device should be removed during qualification tests. The measurement can be done by using a single probe which can be repositioned. The probe shall be of the same shape as the probe used in the efficiency test and have an appropriate entrance diameter to obtain isokinetic sampling within 10 % at 0,944 m³/s. The same probe and sample flow shall be used at test duct flows 0,25 m³/s, 1,0 m³/s and 1,5 m³/s. The sampling line shall be as short as possible to minimise sampling losses and shall also be of the same diameter as used in the efficiency test.

The aerosol concentration shall be measured with a particle counter meeting the specification in this standard. The number of particles counted in a specified size range in a single measurement should be > 500 in order to reduce the statistical error.
A sample is taken successively at each measuring point. This procedure shall be repeated until five samples from each measuring point are obtained. The five values for each point shall be averaged for all size ranges of the particle counter and the coefficient of variation \( CV_i \) shall be calculated for each for size range “i” as follows:

\[
CV_i = \frac{\delta_i}{mean_i}
\]

where

\( \delta_i \) is the standard deviation (of the nine measuring points) for size range “i”;  
\( mean_i \) is the mean value of the nine measuring points for size range “i”.

The \( CV_i \) shall be less than 15 % for 0,25 m\(^3\)/s, 1,0 m\(^3\)/s and 1,5 m\(^3\)/s.

8.3 Particle counter sizing accuracy

Optical particle counters (OPCs) measure the particle concentration and the equivalent optical particle size. The indicated particle size is strongly dependent on the calibration of the OPC.

To avoid effects caused by different aerodynamic, optical and electronic systems of various types of OPCs, measurements both upstream and downstream of the filter shall be made with the same instrument.

The OPC shall be calibrated prior to initial system start-up and thereafter in regular intervals of not longer than one year and shall have a valid calibration certificate. The calibration of the OPC shall be done by the OPC manufacturer or any similarly qualified organisation according to established standardised procedures (e.g. IEST-RP-CC013; ASTM-F328; ASTM-F649) with spherical, isotropic particles of polystyrene latex (PSL) in...
single dispersion, having a refractive index of 1.59. The calibration has to be performed for at least 3 channels of
the OPC, distributed over the measuring range of 0.2 µm to 3.0 µm, including the channels containing 0.2 µm and
3.0 µm.

A good indication of the OPC calibration may be obtained by checking upstream distribution of the test aerosol at
each test. A quick calibration check, performed frequently according to the recommendation of the particle counter
manufacturer, is strongly recommended. In this calibration check it is sufficient to verify that PSL particles of vary-
ing size appear in the corresponding size class(es) of the OPC to which they belong. Checks with PSL particles at
the low and the high end of the OPC’s size range are especially meaningful.

The sampling air flow of the OPC shall be calibrated to be within ± 5 % of the OPC’s rated air flow, in compliance
with one established standardised procedure (e.g. IEST-RP-CC013).

8.4 Particle counter zero test

The count rate shall be verified to have less than 10 total counts per minute in the 0.2 µm to 3.0 µm size range
when operating with a HEPA or ULPA filter directly attached to the instrument's inlet. This also includes the sam-
pling system.

8.5 Particle counter overload test

OPC’s may underestimate particle concentrations if their concentration limit CL is exceeded. Therefore it is neces-
sary to know the CL of the OPC being used. The maximum aerosol concentration used in the tests should then be
kept sufficiently below the CL, so that the counting error resulting from coincidence does not exceed 5 %. Operat-
ing OPCs above their CL will cause efficiency results to be lower then they really are.

If the upstream concentration in the test duct cannot be reduced, a dilution system may be used to reduce the
aerosol concentrations below the OPC’s CL. It is then necessary to take upstream and downstream samples via
the dilution system in order to eliminate errors arising from uncertainty in the dilution factor's value.

Either one of the two following procedures may be used to determine whether the data values are influenced by
coincidence errors. Procedure 2 is the more reliable of the two options:

1) the efficiency of a reference filter shall be measured at different concentrations. At a concentration above the
OPC’s CL, efficiency starts to decrease;

2) an upstream particle concentration distribution shall be measured. Afterward, the concentration shall be uni-
formly reduced or diluted (this can be done by a known or an unknown factor) and the measurement of the particle
concentration distribution repeated. If the shape of the latter particle size distribution curve shifts to-
wards smaller particles, this is a clear sign that the former concentration was higher then the OPC’s CL. If the
factor for concentration reduction or dilution is known, this factor should be found in each size class of the
OPC, between the two concentration measurements.

Concentration reduction may be achieved by increasing the air flow through the filter or by reducing the aerosol
generator's output.

Concentration dilution may be achieved by inserting a dilution system in the sampling line of the OPC.

8.6 100 % efficiency test

The purpose of this test is to ensure that the test duct and sampling system are capable of providing a 100 % effi-
ciency measurement. The test shall be made using a HEPA or ULPA filter as the test device. The normal test pro-
cedure for determination of efficiency is used. The test shall be performed at an air flow of 0.944 m³/s. The effi-
ciency shall be greater than 99 % for all particle sizes.
8.7 Zero % efficiency test

The zero % efficiency test is a test of the accuracy of the overall duct, sampling system, measurement and aerosol generation systems. The test shall be performed as a normal efficiency test but with no test filter installed. The test air flow shall be 0.944 m$^3$/s. Two tests shall be done according to standard test procedure and the calculated zero efficiency shall meet the following criteria:

- 0 % ± 3 % for particle sizes equal or less than 1.0 µm;
- 0 % ± 7 % for particle sizes larger than 1.0 µm.

The total number of counted particles for each size should be > 500 in order to limit the statistical error.

8.8 Aerosol generator response time

The time interval for the aerosol concentration to go from background level to steady state test level shall be measured. This is to ensure that sufficient time is allowed for the concentration to stabilise before performing any tests.

Start the aerosol generator and record the time interval for the concentration to stabilise to a steady state condition. The time interval shall be used as a minimum delay time before starting a test sequence according to this standard.

8.9 Pressure equipment calibration

All equipment for pressure drop readings shall be calibrated according to Table 2.

8.10 Pressure drop checking

This test is to verify that leaks in the equipment for pressure drop readings, instrument lines etc. do not significantly affect the accuracy of the measurements of air flow or pressure drop. The test may be made by calibrated devices or by the system described below.

Seal the pressure sample points in the test duct carefully. Disconnect the pressure drop meter. Pressurise the tubes with a constant negative pressure of 5000 Pa. Check all sampling lines in this manner (see Figure 9). No changes in pressure are allowed.

Pressurise the pressure drop measuring equipment at the maximum permitted pressure according to the instrument specification. The procedure shall be carried out sequentially on both positive and negative pressure lines. No changes in pressure are permitted on either inlet.

As an addition, a perforated plate (or other reference) having known pressure drops at 0.5 m$^3$/s, 0.75 m$^3$/s, 1.0 m$^3$/s and 1.5 m$^3$/s may be used for periodic checks on the pressure drop measurement system.
8.11 Dust feeder air flow rate

The purpose of this test is to verify that the air flow rate for the dust feeder is correct.

The aspirator Venturi is subject to wear from the dust and compressed air and will thereby become enlarged. It is therefore important periodically to monitor the air flow rate from the dust feeder. The flow shall be 6.8 l/s ± 0.2 l/s. This air flow is determined as in Figure 10.

**Key**

1 Sealed pressure inlet
2 Test device section
Key
1 Dust feeder
2 Plenum with minimum volume of 0.25 m$^3$
3 HEPA filter
4 Flow metering device
5 Fan
6 Pressure drop measurement device (the differential pressure should be zero)

Figure 10 — Dust feeder air flow rate
8.12 Neutraliser

The activity of the source shall be confirmed by an appropriate device. The measurement may be relative and the neutraliser should be changed if the activity is below the manufacturer’s recommendation. The corona discharge level shall be high enough to meet requirements according to 7.3.2.

8.13 Summary of qualification requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Subclause</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air velocity uniformity</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>$CV &lt; 10 %$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol uniformity</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>$CV &lt; 15 %$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle counter sizing accuracy</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>According to manufacturer’s valid calibration certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle counter - overload test</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>No overloading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle counter zero</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>$&lt; 10$ counts per minute in size range $0.2 \mu m$ to $3.0 \mu m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 % Efficiency test</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>$&gt; 99 %$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 % Efficiency test</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>Sizes $\leq 1.0 \mu m$: $\pm 3 %$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sizes $&gt; 1.0 \mu m$: $\pm 7 %$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol generator response time</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>As measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manometer calibration</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>Size range:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 Pa to 70 Pa): $\pm 2$ Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$&gt; 70$ Pa $\pm 3 %$ of the measured value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure drop test</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>No detectable leaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust feeder air flow rate</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>$6.8$ l/s $\pm 0.2$ l/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE Coefficient of variation.
### 8.14 Apparatus maintenance

#### Table 3 — Frequency of maintenance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance item</th>
<th>Subclause</th>
<th>Each test</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>Bi-annually</th>
<th>Annually</th>
<th>After any change that might alter performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEST DUCT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air velocity uniformity</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol uniformity</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 % efficiency test</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 % efficiency test</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure drop test</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INSTRUMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol generator response time</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manometer calibration</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle counter - sizing accuracy</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle counter - overload test</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle counter - zero test</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust feeder air flow rate</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutraliser</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X + see note</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Regular cleaning of all equipment should be undertaken so that the performance of the test system is maintained. Wash the inside of the radioactive neutraliser after every 100 h of use. Check the balance of the corona discharge ionizer monthly, as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

### 9 Test materials

#### 9.1 Test air - cleanliness, temperature and humidity

Room air or outdoor air is used as the test air source. In the efficiency tests, the air is filtered with HEPA filters to obtain a test air free of background particles. The test conditions shall be in accordance with clause 7. The exhaust flow may be discharged outdoors, indoors or recirculated. Filtration of the exhaust flow is recommended when test aerosol and loading dust may be present.

#### 9.2 Test aerosol

Test aerosol of DEHS (DiEthylHexylSebacate) produced by a Laskin nozzle is widely used in the testing of HEPA and ULPA filters. DEHS is the same as DES Di (2-ethylhexyl) Sebacate or Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Sebacate.

Any generator capable of producing droplets in sufficient concentrations in the size range of 0.2 µm to 3.0 µm can be used apart from the Laskin generator. One such generator is specified in the French standard NF X 44-060 and comprises two pressurised containers and an ultrasonic atomiser fed by compressed air.

**DEHS/DES/DOS – formula:**

\[
C_{26}H_{50}O_4 \text{ or } CH_3(CH_2)_3CH(CH_2)CH_2OOC(CH_2)_3COOCH_2CH(CH_2)H_3(CH_2)3CH_3
\]
DEHS properties:

Density \(912 \text{ kg/m}^3\)
Melting point \(225 \text{ K}\)
Boiling point \(529 \text{ K}\)
Flash point \(> 473 \text{ K}\)
Vapour pressure \(1.9 \mu\text{Pa at } 273 \text{ K}\)
Refractive index \(1.450 \text{ at } 600 \text{ nm wavelength}\)
Dynamic viscosity \(0.022 \text{ kg/ms to } 0.024 \text{ kg/ms}\)
CAS number \(122-62-3\)

9.3 Loading dust

The loading dust is the ASHRAE 52.1 synthetic test dust with the following composition:

- 72% by weight test dust "fine" ISO 12103-1 (Arizona road dust);
- 23% by weight carbon black;
- 5% by weight cotton linters.

Test dust "fine", ISO 12103-1 consists mainly of silica particles with the size distribution given in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size (µm)</th>
<th>Volume larger than size (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>96,5 - 97,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>87,5 - 89,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>78,0 - 81,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70,5 - 74,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64 - 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>54 - 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>46 - 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>26 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>9 - 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0 - 0,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4 Final filter

The final filter captures any loading dust that passes through the tested filter during the dust loading procedure. The final filter shall retain at least 98% of the loading dust and not gain or lose more than one gram e.g. as a result of humidity variations met during one test cycle.

The final filter design is optional; to meet the retention efficiency (arrestance) requirement of > 98%, a unit should possess an initial efficiency of > 75% with respect to 0.4 µm DEHS particles.
10 Test procedure

10.1 Preparation of filter to be tested

The filter shall be mounted in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and after equilibration with the test air weighed to the nearest gram. Devices requiring external accessories shall be operated during the test with accessories having characteristics equivalent to those used in actual practice. The filter, including any normal mounting frame, shall be sealed into duct in a manner that prevents leakages. The tightness shall be checked by visual inspection and no visible leaks are acceptable. If for any reason, dimensions do not allow testing of a filter under standard test conditions, assembly of two or more filters of the same type or model is permitted, provided no leaks occur in the resulting filter. The operating conditions of such accessory equipment shall be recorded.

10.2 Initial pressure drop

The value of the initial pressure drop shall be recorded at 50 %, 75 %, 100 % and 125 % of the rated air flow to establish a curve of pressure drop as a function of the air flow rate. The pressure drop readings shall be corrected to an air density of 1.20 kg/m$^3$ (see annex D).

10.3 Initial efficiency

10.3.1 Efficiency of discharged filter media

The filter media of the filter, or from another identical filter, shall be tested according to annex A "Electrostatic discharging procedure".

10.3.2 Efficiency measurement

The efficiency $E$ for a given particle size range (between two particle diameters) shall be calculated as follows:

$$E = 100 \left(1 - \frac{n_i}{N_i}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where

- $n_i$ is the number of particles in the size range “i” downstream of filter;
- $N_i$ is the number of particles in the size range “i” upstream of filter.

The initial efficiency curve versus the size range diameters shall be plotted in a diagram. The size range diameter or the mean diameter $d_i$ is the geometric average of the lower and upper border diameters in the size range “i”:

$$d_i = \sqrt{d_l \times d_u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where

- $d_l$ is the lower border diameter in the size range;
- $d_u$ is the upper border diameter in the size range.

The determination of the initial efficiency is done at the test air flow rate and the aerosol generator output is adjusted to generate a stable concentration of aerosol within the OPC coincidence level requirements and such that the downstream count rate is sufficient for a statistically valid result within an acceptable time scale.

The efficiency measurement is done by a series of at least 13 counts of a minimum 20 seconds conducted successively upstream and downstream of the filter under test and with a purge before each count, or with one intervening
sample upstream or downstream without counting, in order to stabilise the concentration of particles in the transfer lines.

The counting cycle for size range "i" will then be as in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count no.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>N_1,i</td>
<td>N_2,i</td>
<td>N_3,i</td>
<td>N_4,i</td>
<td>N_5,i</td>
<td>N_6,i</td>
<td>N_7,i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream</td>
<td>n_1,i</td>
<td>n_2,i</td>
<td>n_3,i</td>
<td>n_4,i</td>
<td>n_5,i</td>
<td>n_6,i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first single efficiency for size range "i" shall be calculated as follows:

\[
E_{1,i} = \left( 1 - \frac{n_{1,i}}{N_{1,i} + N_{2,i}} \right) \times 100
\]

(5)

The 13 measurements give six single efficiency \((E_{1,i}, \ldots, E_{6,i})\) results. The initial average efficiency \(E_i\) shall be calculated for the size range "i" as follows:

\[
E_i = (E_{1,i} + \ldots + E_{6,i})/6
\]

(6)

where

\(E_i\) is the initial average efficiency of the filter for size range "i".

10.4 Dust loading

10.4.1 Dust loading procedure

The filter is progressively loaded with the standardised test dust and the consequent changes in pressure drop and efficiency are determined. Dust increments are weighed to \(\pm 0.1\) g and placed in the dust tray. The dust is fed to the filter at a concentration of 70 mg/m\(^3\) until each pressure drop step value is attained. The arrestance and efficiency is determined after each incremental dust addition. For filters known to have an average efficiency of \(< 40\%\) only the arrestance need be determined.

Before stopping the dust feeding, brush whatever dust remains in the feeder tray to the dust pickup tube so that it is entrained in the duct air flow. Vibrate or rap the dust feeder tube for 30 seconds. The dust fed to the filter could also be estimated by weighing the remaining dust in the feeder. With the test air flow on, re-entrain any synthetic dust in the duct upstream of the filter by the use of a compressed air jet directed obliquely away from the tested filter.

Stop the test and reweigh the final filter (to at least 0.5 g accuracy) to determine the amount of synthetic dust collected and calculate the arrestance. Any dust deposited in the duct between the filter and the final filter should be collected with a fine brush and included in the final filter weight.

Initial efficiency and pressure drop are determined before dust loading, while efficiency, pressure drop and arrestance shall be measured after 30 g dust and after at least four more approximately equal dust increments up to the final pressure drop. The first 30 g dust will give the initial arrestance and the additional dust increments should give a smooth curve of efficiency and/or arrestance versus dust loading up to the final pressure drop. Table 6 describes the parameters to be determined during the dust loading procedure.
### Table 6 — Performance values to measure or calculate after each dust loading step

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Parameter to be determined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial, before dust loading</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 30 g dust (the first loading to give initial arrestance)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the end of each intermediate increment</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the last increment (final pressure drop)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dust increments could be difficult to estimate and, when applicable dust loading approximately to 100 Pa, 150 Pa, 250 Pa and 450 Pa pressure drop will give a smooth curve. However, a filter with low initial pressure loss, or a filter with low increase of pressure versus loading dust, requires one or more measuring points in the beginning of the dust loading procedure, while other filters may need an extra measuring point at the end of the dust loading procedure to give an even distribution of measuring points.

**NOTE** Dust increments should be sized to give a minimum of four evenly distributed measuring points along the dust loading/pressure drop curves. Additional measuring points can be required in circumstances where the appropriate masses of the dust increments are difficult to estimate.

Values of dust holding capacity, average efficiency and arrestance at the specified final pressure drop values are determined by linear interpolation from the appropriate graphs.

### 10.4.2 Arrestance

The arrestance shall be determined after each dust loading stage.

After reaching the next pressure drop level the previously weighed final filter is removed from the test rig and reweighed. The weight increase indicates the mass of dust that has passed the test filter. The arrestance $A_j$ for the dust loading step $j$ shall be calculated as follows:

$$ A_j = (1 - \frac{m_j}{M_j}) \times 100\% $$

where

- $m_j$ is the mass of dust passing the filter (the mass gain of final filter $\Delta m_f$ and the dust after the device $m_d$) at the dust loading phase $j$;
- $M_j$ is the mass of dust fed (dust increment $\Delta m$) during the dust loading phase $j$.

The test is stopped if the arrestance is lower than 75% of the maximum arrestance, or if two values are lower than 85% of the maximum value. The initial arrestance is calculated after the first 30 g loading dust.

An average arrestance is calculated from at least five single values of the arrestance. The average dust arrestance $A_m$ shall be calculated as follows:

$$ A_m = \frac{(1/M) \times [M_1 \times A_1 + M_2 \times A_2 + ... + M_n \times A_n]}{n} $$

where

- $M = M_1 + M_2 + ... + M_n$ is the total mass of dust fed;
- $M_1, M_2, ..., M_n$ are dust masses successively fed to reach the final pressure drops $\Delta \rho_1, \Delta \rho_2, ..., \Delta \rho_n$. 
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Arrestance values above 99 % should be reported as > 99 %.

In plotting a continuous curve of arrestance against dust fed, the curve shall be drawn through arrestance values plotted at the mid-point of their associated weight increments.

**10.4.3 Efficiency**

The efficiency shall be determined initially and, if possible, immediately after each stage of dust loading. All sources of leakage permitting by-passing of the filter shall be eliminated before testing.

After each dust loading stage, the filter shall be air-swept for five minutes to reduce the emission of particles "released" from the partly loaded filter and from inside the duct system. The releasing, re-entrainment or shedding of particles after five minutes is included in the measurement and will influence the efficiency determination.

The efficiency measurement is done in the same way as for initial efficiency (see 10.3.2) by a series of at least 13 counts of a minimum of 20 seconds conducted successively upstream and downstream of the filter under test. Each count shall be preceded by an air purge or with an uncounted intervening sample to stabilise the concentration of particles in the transfer lines.

The average efficiency after each loading stage shall be calculated for the size range "i" as follows:

\[ E_{i,j} = \left( E_{1,i} + \ldots + E_{6,i} \right) / 6 \]  

where

\[ E_{1,i}; \ldots ; E_{6,i} \] are the single efficiencies for size range "i" after the dust loading stage;

\[ E_{i,j} \] is the average efficiency for size range "i" after the loading stage "j".

**10.4.4 Average efficiency**

The average efficiency is an efficiency averaged to take account of the effects of progressive dust loading.

For a series of "n" dust loading phases, the average efficiency is given by the following formula:

\[ E_{m,i} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( E_{i,j-1} + \frac{E_{i,j} \times M_j}{2} \right) \]

where

\[ E_{m,i} \] is the average efficiency for the particle size range "i" for all dust loading stages;

\[ E_{i,j} \] is the average efficiency for size range "i" after the dust loading phase "j";

\[ M_j \] is the amount of dust fed during the dust loading phase "j";

\[ M = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j \];

\[ n \] is the number of dust loading phases.

**10.4.5 Dust holding capacity**

The dust holding capacity for a given final pressure drop is calculated by multiplying the total mass of dust fed (corrected for the losses upstream of the filter) by the average arrestance.
11 Uncertainty calculation of the test results

The uncertainty on the average efficiency as defined corresponds to a two-sided confidence interval of the average value based on a 95 % confidence level. An upstream sample of no less than 500 particles shall be counted in evaluated size ranges up to 1 µm, in accordance with ISO 2854:

\[
E - U \leq \bar{E} \leq E + U
\]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

\[
\bar{E} = \frac{1}{n} \sum E_i
\]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[
U = t\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \times \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

\[n = v - 1\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

\[
\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (E_i - \bar{E})^2}{n - 1}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where

- \(\bar{E}\) is the average efficiency;
- \(U\) is the uncertainty;
- \(E_i\) is the point value of the efficiency;
- \(v\) is the number of degrees of freedom;
- \(t\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\) is the student’s distribution, depending on the number of degrees of freedom \(v\) (see Table 7);
- \(n\) is the number of calculated point efficiency values \(E_i\);
- \(\delta\) is the standard deviation.

**Table 7 — Student’s distribution according to ISO 2854**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples (n)</th>
<th>Number of degrees of freedom (v = n - 1)</th>
<th>(t\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE** 95 % confidence level \((\alpha = 0,05)\)
The overall uncertainty of the average efficiency for classification shall be calculated as follows:

\[
U_i = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{U_{i,(j-1)} + U_{i,j}}{2} \times M_j \right)
\]  
\[M = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j
\]

where
- \(U_i\) is the uncertainty of the average efficiency for size range "i";
- \(U_{i,j}\) is the uncertainty of the average efficiency for size range "i" after the dust loading phase "j";
- \(M_j\) is the amount of dust fed during the dust holding phase "j";
- \(n\) is the number of dust loading phases.

12 Reporting

12.1 General

The test report shall include a description of the test method and any deviations from it. The type and identification number of the particle counter used should be reported, as well as the method of air flow rate measurement. The report shall include the following:

- summary of the results;
- measured efficiencies and their uncertainties;
- calculated efficiencies;
- data and results of air flow rate and pressure drop measurements;
- data and results of dust loading measurements.

Test results shall be reported using the test report format used in this standard. Figures 11 to 13 and Tables 8 to 13 comprise the complete test report and are examples of acceptable forms. Exact formats are not requested, but the report shall include the items shown. The legend of each table and graph should preferably include the following:

- type of filter;
- the number of this standard;
- test number;
- test aerosol;
- test air flow rate.

The dust loading, dust holding capacity and average arrestance shall be reported for specified final pressure drops of 150 Pa and 250 Pa for G-filters. The dust loading, dust holding capacity and average efficiency shall be reported
for specified final pressure drops of 250 Pa, 350 Pa and 450 Pa for F-filters. Linear interpolation or extrapolation may be made used in order to convert the nearest measured values to the specified final pressure drop.

12.2 Summary

The one page summary section of the performance report (Figure 11) shall include the following information:

— General:
  1) testing organisation;
  2) date of test;
  3) name of test operator;
  4) report number;
  5) test requested by;
  6) device delivered by;
  7) date of receiving the device.

— Manufacturer’s data of the tested device:
  1) description of the device;
  2) type, identification and marking;
  3) manufacturer;
  4) physical description of construction (e.g. pocket filter, number of pockets);
  5) dimensions (width, height, depth);
  6) type of media, if possible or available the following shall be described:
     — identification code (e.g. glass fibre type ABC123, inorganic fibre type 123ABC);
     — effective filter area;
     — type and amount of dust adhesive.
  7) additional information if needed.

— Test data:
  1) test air flow rate;
  2) test air temperature and relative humidity;
  3) type of loading dust and test aerosol.

— Results:
  1) initial and final pressure drop;
  2) initial and average efficiency (0,4 µm), including uncertainty of average efficiency;
3) initial and average arrestance;
4) dust holding capacity;
5) untreated / discharged efficiency;
6) filter class including test conditions in parentheses if test air flow or final pressure drop are non-standard.

— Performance curves:
   1) pressure drop versus air flow rate for clean filter;
   2) pressure drop versus loading dust fed;
   3) efficiency (0.4 µm) versus loading dust fed;
   4) arrestance versus loading dust fed. The curve shall be drawn through arrestance values plotted at the mid-point of their associated weight increments.

— Statement:
   1) the results relate only to the tested item;
   2) the performance results cannot by themselves be quantitatively applied to predict filter performance in service.

In the summary report:

— the results shall be rounded to the nearest integer;
— except average efficiency of 0.4 µm, the uncertainty of efficiency values does not have to be reported.

12.3 Efficiency

In addition to the summary report, results of the efficiency measurements shall be reported both in tables and as graphs.

— Tables:
   1) efficiency and uncertainty for each particle size after different dust loading phases (Table 8);
   2) average efficiency for each particle size at different final pressure drops (dust holding capacity and filter class may be included) (Table 9);
   3) pressure drop versus air flow and dust loading (Table 10);
   4) arrestance versus pressure drop and dust loading (Table 11);
   5) efficiency of untreated and discharged efficiency (Table 12 and 13).

— Graphs:
   1) efficiency versus particle size after different dust loading phases (Figure 12);
   2) average efficiency at different final pressure drops (Figure 13);
   3) initial efficiency (Figure 13).
Linear interpolation or extrapolation of the nearest measured particle efficiency to a specified final pressure drop shall be made in the calculation of an efficiency at the specified final pressure drop. Alternatively, the average results may be interpolated or extrapolated to the nearest final pressure drops.

12.4 Pressure drop and air flow rate

All required data and results of the air flow rate and pressure drop measurements throughout the complete test shall be reported in table format. The pressure drop curves for the clean filter and the dust loaded filter are reported in the summary section.

The reported pressure drops shall be corrected to an air density of 1.20 kg/m³. The corrections can be made as described in annex D.

12.5 Arrestance and dust holding capacity

All required data and results of the dust loading and arrestance measurements shall be reported in table format.

The initial arrestance, average arrestance and dust holding capacity at different final pressure drops, and the arrestance curve, are reported in the summary section.

12.6 Marking

The filter shall be marked with a type identifying marking. The following details shall be provided:

— name, trade mark or other means of identification of the manufacturer;
— type and reference number of the filter;
— number of this standard;
— group and class of the filter according to this standard;
— flow rate at which the filter has been classified.

If the correct mounting cannot be deduced, marking is necessary for correct fitting in the ventilation duct (e.g. "top", "direction of flow").

The marking shall be as clearly visible and as durable as possible.
## EN 779:2002 - AIR FILTER TEST RESULTS

### GENERAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test no.</th>
<th>Date of test: yyyy-mm-dd</th>
<th>Supervisor:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test requested by:</th>
<th>Device receiving date: yyyy-mm-dd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device delivered by:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DEVICE TESTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model:</th>
<th>Manufacturer:</th>
<th>Construction:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of media:</th>
<th>Net effective filtering area: m²</th>
<th>Filter dimensions (width × height × depth): mm × mm × mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TEST DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test air flow rate: m³/s</th>
<th>Test air temperature: °C</th>
<th>Test air relative humidity: %</th>
<th>Test aerosol:</th>
<th>Loading dust:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial pressure drop: Pa</th>
<th>Initial arrestance: %</th>
<th>Initial efficiency (0,4 µm): %</th>
<th>Dust holding capacity: g / g / g</th>
<th>Untreated / discharged efficiency of media (0,4 µm, annex A): % / %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final pressure drop: Pa / Pa</th>
<th>Average arrestance: %</th>
<th>Average efficiency (0,4 µm): %</th>
<th>Filter class ( Pa):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Remarks: | |
|----------||

NOTE: The performance results are only valid for the tested item cannot by themselves be quantitatively applied to predict filter performance in service.

---

Figure 11 —Summary section of performance report
Table 8 — Efficiency and uncertainty after different dust loading phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size μm</th>
<th>Efficiency %</th>
<th>Pressure drop Pa</th>
<th>and</th>
<th>dust fed g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE The uncertainty of the measured efficiencies is reported on a 95 % confidence level.
Table 9 — Average efficiency at different final pressure drops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size ( \mu m )</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Average efficiency</th>
<th>Final pressure drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust holding capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EN 779:2002 — Efficiency after different dust loading phases

Air filter:
Test no.:
Test aerosol:
Air flow rate: \( \text{m}^3/\text{s} \)

---

Figure 12 — Efficiency after different dust loading phases

---

EN 779:2002 — Initial and average efficiency at different final pressure drops

Air filter:
Test no.:
Test aerosol:
Air flow rate: \( \text{m}^3/\text{s} \)

---

Figure 13 — Initial and average efficiency at different final pressure drops
Table 10 — Air flow rate and pressure drop after different dust loading phases

EN 779:2002 - Air flow rate and pressure drop after different dust loading phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dust fed</th>
<th>Air flow meter</th>
<th>Filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clean filter pressure drop is proportional to \((q_v)^n\), where \(n = \)

Dust loading phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dust fed</th>
<th>Air flow meter</th>
<th>Filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symbols and units

- \(m_{tot}\): Cumulative mass of dust fed to filter, g
- \(\rho_a\): Absolute air pressure upstream of filter, kPa
- \(\rho_{sf}\): Air flow meter static pressure, kPa
- \(q_m\): Mass flow rate, kg/m³
- \(q_v\): Air flow rate at filter, m³/s
- \(t\): Temperature upstream of filter, °C
- \(t_f\): Temperature at air flow meter, °C
- \(\varphi\): Relative humidity upstream of filter, %
- \(\rho\): Air density upstream of filter, kg/m³
- \(\Delta p\): Measured filter pressure drop, Pa
- \(\Delta p_{1.20}\): Filter pressure drop at air density 1.20 kg/m³, Pa
## Table 11 — Pressure drop and arrestance after different dust loading phases

### Air filter:

### Test no.:

### Test aerosol:

### Air flow rate: \( m^3/s \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>( \Delta p_1 ) Pa</th>
<th>( \Delta m ) g</th>
<th>( m_{tot} ) g</th>
<th>( \Delta p_2 ) Pa</th>
<th>( m_1 ) g</th>
<th>( m_2 ) g</th>
<th>( \Delta m_{ff} ) g</th>
<th>( m_d ) g</th>
<th>( A ) %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyyy-mm-dd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Symbols and units

- **\( A \)**: Arrestance, \( \% \)
- **\( m_d \)**: Dust in duct after device, g
- **\( m_{tot} \)**: Cumulative mass of dust fed to filter, g
- **\( m_1 \)**: Mass of final filter before dust increment, g
- **\( m_2 \)**: Mass of final filter after dust increment, g
- **\( \Delta m \)**: Dust increment, g
- **\( \Delta m_{ff} \)**: Mass gain of final filter, g
- **\( \Delta p_1 \)**: Pressure drop before dust increment, Pa
- **\( \Delta p_2 \)**: Pressure drop after dust increment, Pa
### Table 12 — Efficiency and pressure drop of untreated filter material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size ( \mu m )</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Pressure drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>Sample 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE** The uncertainty of the measured efficiencies is reported on a 95% confidence level.
### Table 13 — Efficiency and pressure drop of discharged filter material

**EN 779:2002 - Efficiency and pressure drop of discharged filter material**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air filter:</th>
<th>Test no.:</th>
<th>Test aerosol:</th>
<th>Air flow rate: ( m^3/s )</th>
<th>Media velocity: ( m/s )</th>
<th>Size of material sample: ( m^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size ( \mu m )</th>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
<th>Sample 3</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE** The uncertainty of the measured efficiencies is reported on a 95% confidence level.
Annex A
(normative)

Electrostatic discharging procedure

A.1 General

Certain types of filter media rely on electrostatic effects to achieve high efficiencies at low resistance to air flow. Exposure to some types of challenge, such as combustion particles or oil mist, may neutralise such charges with the result that filter performance suffers. It is important for users of filters to be aware of the possibility of performance degradation arising from loss of media charge during operational life.

This procedure is used to determine whether the filter efficiency is dependent on the electrostatic removal mechanism and to provide quantitative information about the importance of the electrostatic removal. This is accomplished by measuring the removal efficiency of an untreated filter material and the corresponding efficiency after the effect of the electrostatic removal mechanism has been eliminated.

A.2 Test method for discharging of filter material

A.2.1 Equipment

The test is based on the elimination of the electrostatic removal mechanism. Any treatment to give a completely discharged material may be used (isopropanol, diesel fume, detergents or surfactants in water). Other discharging procedures or test equipment (e.g. EN 1822-3) proven to give fully discharged performances may also be used.

The following describes the treatment with isopropanol. The isopropanol test is made by first measuring the efficiency of untreated media samples. Next, the samples are immersed in isopropanol (100 % solution). After filter samples have been wetted by the isopropanol, they are placed on a flat inert surface in a fume cupboard for drying. After the drying period of 24 hours, the efficiency measurements are repeated.

The principle of the filter material test equipment is shown in Figure A.1. This system consists of a test tube, a flow meter, a flow control valve, a (downstream) sampling tube and a manometer. The filter sample to be tested is fixed to the test tube by means of a flange. The test tube also includes a mixing section, which ensures a representative sampling downstream of the filter. The sampling tube is connected to the downstream sampling line of the particle size analyser.

**Key**

1 Manometer
2 Test tube
3 Filter sample
4 Mixing section
5 Sampling tube to particle counter
6 Flow meter
7 Flow control
8 Fan

**Figure A.1 — Filter material test equipment**

The isopropanol treatment is made using the system shown in Figure A.2. This system includes a vessel for the technical grade isopropanol. The system also includes flat surfaces on which filter samples are placed for drying. The
drying of the filter samples should take place in a laboratory fume cupboard. Either reagent grade or technical grade isopropanol should be used in the isopropanol test.

Key

1. Efficiency measurement
2. Filter sample
3. Isopropanol treatment
4. Isopropanol vessel
5. Fume cupboard
6. Drying

Figure A.2 — Principle of the isopropanol test system

A.2.2 Preparation of test samples

Minimum of three media or filter samples shall be tested. Samples shall be selected (e.g. by cutting) in such a way that they represent the complete filter. The locations where media samples are to be cut shall be randomised. The effective filter area should be ≥ 100 cm². The test could be extended to larger samples or parts of the filter or even to full size filters.

A.2.3 Measurement of the filter efficiency

The test is started by placing a filter sample in the test equipment. The velocity through the filter sample is adjusted to be the same as the nominal media velocity used in the filter. The filter pressure drop is measured.

The filter efficiency for 0.4 µm particles is determined by measuring the particle concentrations from upstream and downstream of the filter sample. The test aerosol, efficiency measurement and the data analysis are made according to the main body of this standard.

A.2.4 Isopropanol test

The isopropanol test is made as follows:

- initial efficiency and pressure drop values of the filter samples are measured;
- filter samples are immersed in technical grade isopropanol;
- filter samples are placed on a flat inert surface for drying (this should take place in a laboratory fume cupboard);
- after a drying period of 24 hours, the efficiency and pressure drop measurements are repeated.

A.3 Expression of results

The average efficiencies of the untreated and discharged filter samples are calculated and reported.
Annex B  
(informative)

Shedding from filters

B.1 General

The term "shedding" comprises three separate aspects of filter behaviour, particle bounce, release of fibres or particulate matter from filter material and re-entrainment of particles. Some or all of these phenomena are likely to occur to some extent during the life cycle of an installed filter.

B.2 Shedding

B.2.1 Particle bounce

In an ideal filtration process, each particle would be permanently arrested at the first collision with a filtering surface such as a filter fibre, or with an already captured particle. For small particles and low air velocities, the energy of adhesion greatly exceeds the kinetic energy of the airborne particle in the air stream, and once captured, such particles are unlikely to be dislodged from the filter. As particle size and air velocity increase, this is progressively less so; larger particles may "bounce" off of a fibre. Thereby they normally lose enough energy to be captured in a subsequent collision with a fibre. However, if no effective contact with a fibre follows, the particles will be shed, i.e. discharged from the filter, which will display a corresponding reduction in apparent efficiency for particles in that size range.

A measurement method to quantify this type of shedding is defined in ASHRAE/ANSI Standard 52.2:1999, which uses solid particles. The particle bounce effect cannot be measured according to the EN 779 with liquid aerosol.

The particle bounce effect is more pronounced for filters of group G then for those of group F.

Some investigators [see reference 1 and 2 in this annex] have found a reduction in filter efficiency in the particle size range 4 µm to 8 µm which may be due to this effect. This European Standard procedures does not provide means of measuring particle size efficiencies for solid particles at sizes above 3,0 µm.

B.2.2 Release of fibres or particulate matter from filter material

Some designs of filter include filter media either containing and/or generating some loose fibres or particulate matter during use. During filter operation this loose material can be lost into the air flow. The extent of such fibre shedding depends on the integrity of the media fibre structure and its rigidity and stability in the face of varying dust burdens and air velocities throughout the operating life of the filter. It should be noted that the quantity of fibres shed in this way is normally negligible in comparison with the total amount of dust penetrating through a filter loaded by typical environmental dust burdens.

The releasing effect of fibres or particulate matter from the filter material is more pronounced for filters of group F than for those of group G.

B.2.3 Re-entrainment of particles

As the quantity of the arrested dust on the filter increases, further effects may become apparent according to the following:

— an incoming particle may impact on a captured particle and re-entrain it into the air stream;
the air velocity in the flow channels through the medium will increase because of the space occupied by captured particles. Furthermore, the filter medium may become compressed by the increased resistance to air flow thereby causing even further increase in velocity in the air channels. The consequent increased fluid drag on deposited particles may re-entrain some of them;

movements of the filter medium during operation cause rearrangement of dust held in the filter medium structure. This leads to an immediate re-entrainment of dust. Filter media movements can be caused by a variety of circumstances as:

a) normal air flow through the filter combined with periodic (e.g. daily) start/stop operation of the air conditioning plant;

b) varying air flow rates leading to compression and decompression of the media;

c) mechanical vibration.

Re-entrainment from these causes (also known as "blow-off" or "unloading") may be measured and quantified (see reference 3 and 4 in this annex and also 10.4.2 of this standard). The re-entrainment effect is equally pronounced for filters of groups F and G.

B.3 Testing

The efficiency/particle size curves (F group filters) provided in this standard reflect normally very little of the shedding effects discussed above. The arrestance curves (G group filters) prescribed in this standard reflect them only partly, if at all. Any drop in the value of the arrestance or resistance during the course of a filter loading test should be taken as an indication that shedding may have occurred.

Meaningful measurements of shedding as particle release and re-entrainment are not easy to perform. Particle counter sampling systems are not readily adaptable to measuring short-term "bursts" or assemblages of particles.

For a future revision of this standard, consideration will be given to developing and establishing ways in which significant "shedding" or "re-entrainment" of particles or fibres can be detected, quantified and reported. In doing so, attention shall be paid as before to the difficulty in relating this aspect of filter performance in real life with performance measurements using synthetic test dust. Users should be aware of the possibility of filters exhibiting shedding behaviour. In operational situations where the occurrence of this phenomenon is suspected, plant operators will need to consider carrying out in-plant diagnostic air sampling tests.

B.4 References


2. Qian Y., Willeke K., Ulevicius V. and Grinshpun S. A., Particle Re-entrainment from Fibrous Filters. (Aerosol Science and Technology, 27:3)
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Commentary

C.1 General

The procedures described in this standard have been developed from those given in EN 779:1993 and Eurovent 4/9:1996. The basic design of test rig given in EN 779:1993 is retained with the exception of the "dust-spot" atmospheric aerosol opacity test equipment. Instead, a challenge aerosol of DEHS (or equivalent) is dispersed evenly across the duct upstream of the filter being tested. Representative upstream and downstream samples are analysed by an optical particle counter (OPC) to provide filter particle size efficiency data.

The overall procedure follows the EN 779:1993 procedure, in that the particle size efficiency tests are repeated after each increment of loading dust has been added to the filter (F group filters). The procedure has changed in the new standard in that all filters will be subjected to the efficiency testing procedure alongside of the arrestance/dust loading procedure irrespective of the initial efficiency value. Filters found to have an average efficiency value < 40 % will be allocated to group G and the efficiency will be reported as "< 40 %".

The detailed design of the rig is not prescriptive; however stringent new rig qualification procedures will bring improved accuracy and reliability to the test results.

C.2 Classification

The EN 779:1993 classification system (comprising groups F and G filters) has been retained; classification is now determined from the average filtration efficiency with respect to liquid particles of 0.4 µm diameter. Classification is based on performance with respect to 0.4 µm particles because of practical evidence that the EN 779:1993 classification based on the "dust-spot" opacity test will be very closely matched.

C.3 Test

C.3.1 Test aerosol

A challenge aerosol of DEHS (or equivalent) was chosen for the efficiency test for the following reasons:

— experience has already been gained by users of Eurovent 4/9 techniques so that much suitable equipment already exists;
— liquid aerosols are easy to generate in the concentrations, size range and degree of consistency required;
— the DEHS could be used both as a neutral test aerosol without any charge, or can be charged to Boltzmann equilibrium charge level;
— the particle counters are calibrated against spherical latex particles. The determination of particle size of spherical liquid particles using optical particle counters is more accurate than would be the case with solid particles of salt and test dusts with a nonspherical shape.

The aerosol shall be brought to the Boltzmann charge distribution to represent the charge distribution of aged ambient atmospheric aerosol.
C.3.2 Loading dust

The loading dust (synthetic test dust) is identical with that in ASHRAE 52.1 and 52.2 and has the following composition:

- 72% by weight standardised air cleaner test dust (ISO 12103-1);
- 23% by weight carbon powder. (ASTM D3765 CTAB surface of $(27 \pm 3)$ m$^2$/g, ASTM D2414 DBP adsorption of $(0.68 \pm 0.07)$ cm$^3$/g and an ASTM D3265 tint strength of $(43 \pm 4)$ units);
- 5% by weight cotton linters. The cotton linters shall be second cut linters removed from the cotton seed and ground in a Wiley Mill fitted with a 4 mm screen.

It shall be procured in the composition already mixed by the manufacturer.

The dust is not representative of the real world, but has been used for over 20 years to “simulate” filter loading. The dust will still be used until a more representative dust is developed. ASHRAE and VTT in Finland have research projects for a new loading dust.

C.3.3 Distribution and sampling of aerosols

In consequence of using a liquid challenge aerosol for efficiency measurements, provision shall be made for its even distribution at presentation to the filter. Use should be made of appropriate injection or mixing devices to ensure a coefficient of variation of $<10\%$ across the filter face.

Aerosol samples for concentration and size analysis both upstream and downstream of the filter shall also be fully representative at the point of sampling and compensation shall be able to be made for any effect of particle loss in sampling lines.

The problem of obtaining a representative sample from a single point sampling position requires addressing; it is likely to be less important for the lower efficiency filters (class F5) than for the higher end of the performance spectrum (class F9 filters).

C.3.4 Particle counter characteristics

The optical particle counter shall be suitable for providing information on particle sizes between $0.2\ \mu$m and $3.0\ \mu$m and for concentrations more than 100 particles per cm$^3$. Measuring channels shall include $0.4\ \mu$m and $3.0\ \mu$m. The same instrument is to be used for both upstream and downstream sampling.

C.3.5 Flat sheet test

The minimum air flow in the standard is 0.24 m$^3$/s, which means that flat sheet material using a speed lower than 0.62 m/s cannot be tested directly as a flat sheet. For testing at lower velocities through the material, it has to be mounted with an extended surface. If the material is fixed to a W-shaped frame system, it can be tested as a common filter. There is no correlation between the w-shape and flat sheet but the method could be used for comparing and evaluating material.

Figure C.1 describes a typical W-form construction which could be used for evaluating filter material. The W-form gives one square meter (1 m$^2$) net effective filtering area, and therefore, the same figures representing the flow rate (in m$^3$/s) and the media velocity (in m/s). 0.4 m$^3$/s gives 0.4 m/s through media.

The filter material to be tested shall be laid on the frame and stretched and fastened to the frame with the help of the counter frames.
C.4 Filtration characteristics

C.4.1 General

Initiatives to address the potential problems of solid particle re-entrainment and in-service charge neutralisation characteristics of certain types of filter media have been included in annexes A and B.

C.4.2 Pressure drop

All pressure drops measured during the test should be corrected to a reference air density of 1.20 kg/m³ which corresponds to standard air conditions: temperature 20 °C, barometric pressure 101,325 kPa, relative humidity 50 %. However, as long as the air density is between 1.16 kg/m³ and 1.24 kg/m³, no corrections need to be made.

C.4.3 Discharged efficiency

The efficiency measured in this standard and the classification of the filter is based on neutralised test aerosol (brought to a Boltzmann electrostatic charge distribution). To check if the filter efficiency is dependent on the electrostatic removal mechanism, the initial efficiency could be tested with both neutralised and non neutralised DEHS test aerosol generated with a Laskin nozzle. A significant increase of efficiency for smaller particles, when tested with neutralised aerosol, indicates that the filter is depending on the electrostatic removal mechanism. A test at half the air flow will also give a significant increase in efficiency for smaller particles if the filter efficiency is based on electrostatic charge.
Key
1  W-form frame
2  Filter material (1 m²)
3  W-form counter frame

Figure C.1 — Example of W-form frame and details for testing filter material
Annex D
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Pressure drop calculation

All pressure losses measured during the test should be corrected to a reference air density of 1.20 (1.1987) kg/m³ which corresponds to standard air conditions: temperature 20 °C, barometric pressure 101,325 kPa, relative humidity 50 %. However, as long as the air density is between 1.16 kg/m³ and 1.24 kg/m³, no corrections need to be made.

The pressure loss of a filter can be expressed as:

\[
\Delta p = c (qv)^n \quad (D.1)
\]

\[
c = k \times \mu^{2-n} \times \rho^{n-1} \quad (D.2)
\]

where
- \( \Delta p \) is the pressure loss, Pa;
- \( k \) is a constant;
- \( q_v \) is the air flow rate, m³/s;
- \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity of air, Pa s;
- \( n \) is an exponent;
- \( \rho \) is the air density, kg/m³.

The readings of the air flow measuring system shall be convened to the volumetric air flow rate at the conditions prevailing at the inlet of the tested filter. With these air flow rate values and the measured pressure losses, the exponent “n” from equation D.1 could be determined by using a least square technique.

With a known value of exponent “n”, the measured pressure losses can be corrected to standard air conditions using the following equation:

\[
\Delta p_{120} = \Delta p \left( \frac{\mu_{120}}{\mu} \right)^{2-n} \times \left( \frac{\rho_{120}}{\rho} \right)^{n-1} \quad (D.3)
\]

where the unsubscripted quantities refer to the values at the test conditions and the subscripted quantities to values at the standard air conditions and:

\[
\rho_{1,20} = 1,1987 \text{ kg/m}^3,
\]
\[
\mu_{1,20} = 18,097 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Pa s}
\]

The exponent “n” is usually determined only for a clean filter. During the dust loading phase exponent “n” can change. As it is undesirable to measure pressure loss curves after each dust loading phase, the initial value of exponent “n” may be used during the filter test. The air density \( \rho \) (kg/m³) of temperature \( t \) (°C), barometric pressure \( p \) (Pa) and relative humidity \( \varphi \) (%) can be obtained by the equation D.4:
\[ \rho = \frac{p - 0.378 \rho_W}{287.06 (t + 273.15)} \]  

where \( \rho_w \) (Pa) is the partial vapour pressure of water in air given by the following equation:

\[ \rho_w = \frac{9}{100} \rho_{ws} \]  

and \( \rho_{ws} \) (Pa) is the saturation vapour pressure of water in air at temperature \( t \) (°C) obtained from equation D.6:

\[ \rho_{ws} = \exp \left[ 59.484085 - \frac{6790.4985}{t + 273.15} - 5.02802 \times \ln(t + 273.15) \right] \]  

The dynamic viscosity \( \mu \) (Pa s) at a temperature \( t \) (°C) can be obtained from equation D.7:

\[ \mu = \frac{1.455 \times 10^{-6} (t + 273.15)^{0.5}}{1 + 110.4 \times (t + 273.15)} \]
### Example of a completed test report

**E.1 Example of test reports**

#### EN 779:2002 - AIR FILTER TEST RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing organisation: Superlab Inc.</th>
<th>Report nr.: 007-2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**GENERAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test no.: 12345</th>
<th>Date of test: 2002-02-01</th>
<th>Supervisor: James Bond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test requested by: World Best Filter Inc.</td>
<td>Device receiving date: 26-01-2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device delivered by: World Best Filter Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEVICE TESTED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model: WBF Leader 100</th>
<th>Manufacturer: World Best Filter Inc.</th>
<th>Construction: Filter compact 4 V-shaped pockets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of media: Glass &amp; plastic fibre WBF Mix G &amp; F</td>
<td>Net effective filtering area: 19 m²</td>
<td>Filter dimensions (width × height × depth): 592 mm × 592 mm × 592 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEST DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test air flow rate: 0.944 m³/s</th>
<th>Test air temperature: 20 to 24 °C</th>
<th>Test air relative humidity: 26 to 61 %</th>
<th>Test aerosol: DEHS</th>
<th>Loading dust: ASHRAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial pressure drop: 99 Pa</th>
<th>Initial arrestance: 98 %</th>
<th>Initial efficiency (0.4 µm): 70 %</th>
<th>Dust holding capacity: 254 g / 369 g / 461 g</th>
<th>Untreated / discharged efficiency of media (0.4 µm, annex A): 70.6 % / 69.6 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final pressure drop: 250 Pa / 350 Pa / 450 Pa</td>
<td>Average arrestance: 99 %</td>
<td>Average efficiency (0.4 µm): 93 % / 95 % / 96 %</td>
<td>Filter class (450 Pa): F9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: -
NOTE  The performance results are only valid for the tested item cannot by themselves be quantitatively applied to predict filter performance in service.

Figure E.1 — Summary of test results
### Table E.1 — Efficiency and uncertainty after different dust loading phases

**EN 779:2002 - Efficiency and uncertainty after different dust loading phases**

Air filter: WBF Leader 100  
Test no.: 12345  
Test aerosol: DEHS  
Air flow rate: 0.944 m³/s

| Particle size (µm) | Interval | Mean | Efficiency % | Pressure drop Pa | and | dust fed g
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99 Pa 0  g</td>
<td>106 Pa 30 g</td>
<td>119 Pa 60 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 - 0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>59.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>73.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>82.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>93.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>98.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 - 0.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>64.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>77.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>84.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>94.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>99.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35 - 0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>70.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>83.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>89.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>96.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>99.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45 - 0.60</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>76.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>88.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>94.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>97.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>99.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 - 0.75</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>86.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>92.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>97.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>99.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75 - 1.00</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>90.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>96.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>98.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>99.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 - 1.50</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>94.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>99.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50 - 2.00</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>98.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00 - 3.00</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>99.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00 - 4.50</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE** The uncertainty of the measured efficiencies is reported on a 95% confidence level.
Table E.2 — Average efficiency at different final pressure drops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size Interval</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Average efficiency %</th>
<th>Final pressure drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250 Pa</td>
<td>350 Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 - 0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>88.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>91.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 - 0.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>90.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>93.0 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35 - 0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>93.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>95.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45 - 0.60</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>95.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>96.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 - 0.75</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>97.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75 - 1.00</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>98.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>98.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 - 1.50</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>99.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50 - 2.00</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>99.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00 - 3.00</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00 - 4.50</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust holding capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td>254 g</td>
<td>369 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter class</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EN 779:2002 – Efficiency after different dust loading phases
Air filter: WBF Leader 100
Test no.: 12345
Test aerosol: DEHS
Air flow rate: 0,944 m³/s

Figure E.2 — Efficiency after different dust loading phases

EN 779:2002 – Initial and average efficiency at different final pressure drops
Air filter: WBF Leader 100
Test no.: 12345
Test aerosol: DEHS
Air flow rate: 0,944 m³/s

Figure E.3 — Initial and average efficiency at different final pressure drops
### Table E.3 — Air flow rate and pressure drop after different dust loading phases

#### EN 779:2002 - Air flow rate and pressure drop after different dust loading phases

Air filter: WBF Leader 100  
Test no.: 12345  
Test aerosol: DEHS  
Air flow rate: 0.944 m³/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dust fed</th>
<th>Orifice plate</th>
<th>Filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m&lt;sub&gt;tot&lt;/sub&gt; g</td>
<td>t °C</td>
<td>p&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt; kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean filter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>-1,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>-1,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,2</td>
<td>-0,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>-0,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>-0,088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clean filter pressure drop is proportional to \((q_v)^n\), where \(n = 1,2640\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dust loading phase</th>
<th>m&lt;sub&gt;tot&lt;/sub&gt; g</th>
<th>t °C</th>
<th>p&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt; kPa</th>
<th>Δp&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt; Pa</th>
<th>q&lt;sub&gt;m&lt;/sub&gt; kg/m³</th>
<th>t °C</th>
<th>ϕ %</th>
<th>p&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt; kPa</th>
<th>ρ kg/m³</th>
<th>q&lt;sub&gt;v&lt;/sub&gt; m³/s</th>
<th>Δp&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt; Pa</th>
<th>Δp&lt;sub&gt;1,20&lt;/sub&gt; Pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23,4</td>
<td>-1,404</td>
<td>1 067</td>
<td>1,126</td>
<td>24,1</td>
<td>36,5</td>
<td>102,2</td>
<td>1,193</td>
<td>0,944</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td>-1,416</td>
<td>1 072</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>23,2</td>
<td>38,6</td>
<td>102,2</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>0,943</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23,2</td>
<td>-1,416</td>
<td>1 070</td>
<td>1,127</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>39,9</td>
<td>102,2</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>0,944</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23,2</td>
<td>-1,425</td>
<td>1 069</td>
<td>1,127</td>
<td>23,4</td>
<td>42,5</td>
<td>102,2</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>0,943</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23,2</td>
<td>-1,425</td>
<td>1 069</td>
<td>1,127</td>
<td>23,4</td>
<td>42,5</td>
<td>102,2</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>0,943</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23,3</td>
<td>-1,464</td>
<td>1 073</td>
<td>1,128</td>
<td>23,5</td>
<td>43,0</td>
<td>102,1</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>0,945</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td>-1,448</td>
<td>1 069</td>
<td>1,125</td>
<td>23,5</td>
<td>57,3</td>
<td>102,1</td>
<td>1,192</td>
<td>0,945</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23,2</td>
<td>-1,561</td>
<td>1 069</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>23,3</td>
<td>59,2</td>
<td>102,1</td>
<td>1,192</td>
<td>0,943</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>23,2</td>
<td>-1,572</td>
<td>1 072</td>
<td>1,125</td>
<td>24,0</td>
<td>57,8</td>
<td>102,1</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>0,945</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>23,3</td>
<td>-1,664</td>
<td>1 071</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>60,5</td>
<td>102,1</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>0,944</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>23,8</td>
<td>-1,671</td>
<td>1 071</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>24,3</td>
<td>58,2</td>
<td>102,1</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>0,946</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>-1,123</td>
<td>1 071</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>23,8</td>
<td>61,0</td>
<td>102,0</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td>0,944</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symbols and units:

- \(m_{tot}\): Cumulative mass of dust fed to filter, g
- \(p_a\): Absolute air pressure upstream of filter, kPa
- \(p_{sf}\): Air flow meter static pressure, kPa
- \(q_m\): Mass flow rate, kg/m³
- \(q_v\): Air flow rate at filter, m³/s
- \(t\): Temperature upstream of filter, °C
- \(t\): Temperature at air flow meter, °C
- \(\rho\): Air density upstream of filter, kg/m³
- \(\varphi\): Relative humidity upstream of filter, %
- \(\Delta p\): Measured filter pressure drop, Pa
- \(\Delta p_{1,20}\): Filter pressure drop at air density 1,20 kg/m³, Pa
Table E.4 — Pressure drop and arrestance after different dust loading phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>(\Delta p_1)</th>
<th>(\Delta m)</th>
<th>(m_{\text{tot}})</th>
<th>(\Delta p_2)</th>
<th>(m_1)</th>
<th>(m_2)</th>
<th>(\Delta m_{\text{ff}})</th>
<th>(m_d)</th>
<th>(A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2 291,8</td>
<td>2 292,0</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2 292,0</td>
<td>2 292,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>2 292,4</td>
<td>2 292,5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2 293,2</td>
<td>2 293,6</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>2 293,6</td>
<td>2 294,1</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>2 294,0</td>
<td>2 294,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mass of tested device

Initial mass of tested device: 5 113,4 g
Final mass of tested device: 5 581,7 g

Symbols and units

- \(A\): Arrestance, %
- \(m_d\): Dust in duct after device, g
- \(m_{\text{tot}}\): Cumulative mass of dust fed to filter, g
- \(m_1\): Mass of final filter before dust increment, g
- \(m_2\): Mass of final filter after dust increment, g
- \(\Delta m\): Dust increment, g
- \(\Delta m_{\text{ff}}\): Mass gain of final filter, g
- \(\Delta p_1\): Pressure drop before dust increment, Pa
- \(\Delta p_2\): Pressure drop after dust increment, Pa
Table E.5 — Efficiency and pressure drop of untreated filter material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size µm</th>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
<th>Sample 3</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>Pressure drop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 Pa</td>
<td>98 Pa</td>
<td>102 Pa</td>
<td>100 Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20 - 0.25</td>
<td>59.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>60.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>60.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 - 0.35</td>
<td>63.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>63.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>63.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35 - 0.45</td>
<td>70.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>70.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>71.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45 - 0.60</td>
<td>76.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>75.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>76.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 - 0.75</td>
<td>86.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>85.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>86.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75 - 1.00</td>
<td>90.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>90.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>91.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 - 1.50</td>
<td>94.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>94.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>95.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50 - 2.00</td>
<td>99.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>98.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00 - 3.00</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE The uncertainty of the measured efficiencies is reported on a 95 % confidence level.
Table E.6 — Efficiency and pressure drop of discharged filter material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size µm</th>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
<th>Sample 3</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>Pressure drop (Pa)</td>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>Pressure drop (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,20 - 0,25</td>
<td>58,5 ± 1,6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>61,0 ± 1,5</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,25 - 0,35</td>
<td>62,5 ± 2,5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>62,0 ± 2,8</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,35 - 0,45</td>
<td>69,3 ± 1,6</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>69,3 ± 1,6</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,45 - 0,60</td>
<td>76,0 ± 1,9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>74,0 ± 1,8</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,60 - 0,75</td>
<td>85,5 ± 1,8</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>85,0 ± 1,9</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,75 - 1,00</td>
<td>90,5 ± 1,0</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>90,2 ± 1,0</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,00 - 1,50</td>
<td>94,5 ± 0,6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>94,0 ± 0,5</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,50 - 2,00</td>
<td>99,0 ± 0,2</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>98,5 ± 0,3</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,00 - 3,00</td>
<td>99,7 ± 0,3</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>99,6 ± 0,3</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The uncertainty of the measured efficiencies is reported on a 95 % confidence level.

E.2 Examples of calculations

The calculations are based on the values and symbols presented in Table E.5.

Table E.7 — Dust holding capacity and average arrestance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Loading point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pressure drop (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δp₁,20</td>
<td>99 106 119 148 250 351 453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m&lt;sub&gt;at&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0 30 60 120 355 370 465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ(Δm&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;+m&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>- 0,2 0,5 0,6 1,0 1,5 1,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table E.7 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Loading point</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average arrestance</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_m$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99,3 99,2 99,5 99,7 99,6 99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$DHC$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30 60 119 354 369 463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average arrestance at 453 Pa**

\[ A_{m453} = \frac{(465 - 1.7)}{465} \times 100 = 99.6\% \]

**Dust holding capacity at 453 Pa**

\[ DHC_{453} = m_{tot} - \sum (\Delta m_{if} + \Delta m_{if}) \]  

\[ DHC_{453} = 465 - [(0.2+ 0) + (0.3 + 0) + (0.1 + 0) + (0.4 + 0) + (0.5 + 0) + (0.2 + 0)] = 465 - 1.7 = 463.3\ \text{g} \]

**Interpolation of dust holding capacity to 450 Pa**

\[ DHC_{450} = \frac{(450 - 351)}{(453 - 351)} \times (463.3 - 368.5) + 368.5 = 92.0 + 368.5 = 460.5\ \text{g} \]

**Average arrestance at 450 Pa**

The value calculated for the loading point closest to 450 Pa may be used, in this case at 453 Pa.

\[ A_{m450} = 99.6\% \]

Table E.8 — Calculation of efficiency for 0.4 µm particle size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Loading point</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pressure drop</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta p_{1.20}$</td>
<td>99 106 119 148 250 351 453</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dust loading</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{tot}$</td>
<td>0 30 60 120 355 370 465</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of upstream particles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_1$</td>
<td>1412 1602 1936 1233 1476 1620 1754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_2$</td>
<td>1317 1581 1900 1125 1437 1568 1793</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_3$</td>
<td>1414 1651 1862 1094 1412 1546 1734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_4$</td>
<td>1394 1612 1865 1101 1404 1646 1811</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_5$</td>
<td>1389 1588 1921 1050 1408 1565 1698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_6$</td>
<td>1362 1532 1785 1079 1415 1599 1674</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_7$</td>
<td>1360 1491 1801 1080 1377 1597 1770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table E.8 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Loading point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of downstream particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Single efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E₁</th>
<th>E₂</th>
<th>E₃</th>
<th>E₄</th>
<th>E₅</th>
<th>E₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68,63</td>
<td>83,16</td>
<td>90,35</td>
<td>96,35</td>
<td>99,31</td>
<td>99,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69,46</td>
<td>83,54</td>
<td>88,68</td>
<td>96,30</td>
<td>99,16</td>
<td>99,36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70,44</td>
<td>84,25</td>
<td>90,13</td>
<td>96,90</td>
<td>99,29</td>
<td>99,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72,12</td>
<td>84,13</td>
<td>89,33</td>
<td>96,19</td>
<td>99,64</td>
<td>98,82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69,25</td>
<td>84,62</td>
<td>89,48</td>
<td>96,99</td>
<td>99,29</td>
<td>98,86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71,49</td>
<td>82,53</td>
<td>88,34</td>
<td>97,68</td>
<td>99,50</td>
<td>99,12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E₁</th>
<th>E₂</th>
<th>E₃</th>
<th>E₄</th>
<th>E₅</th>
<th>E₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70,23</td>
<td>83,70</td>
<td>89,38</td>
<td>96,74</td>
<td>99,37</td>
<td>99,17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Uncertainty of efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>σ</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>σ</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>σ</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,36</td>
<td>1,36</td>
<td>0,77</td>
<td>0,77</td>
<td>0,79</td>
<td>0,79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ν = n-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t₁ₐ/2/(n)⁰.₅</td>
<td>1,049</td>
<td>1,049</td>
<td>1,049</td>
<td>1,049</td>
<td>1,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uᵢ</td>
<td>1,43</td>
<td>0,81</td>
<td>0,82</td>
<td>0,60</td>
<td>0,18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Average efficiency

|        | Eᵢ | - | - | - | - | 93,07 | 95,00 | 95,86 |

#### Uncertainty of average efficiency

|        | Uᵢ | - | - | - | 0,60 | 0,49 | 0,43 |

---

**Efficiency E₁ at 453 Pa**

The first single efficiency $E₁$ at 453 Pa is calculated in the following way:

$$E₁ = (1 - 16/[(1 754+1 793)/2]) \times 100 = 99,10\%$$
Efficiency $E_i$ at 453 Pa

The average of the six single efficiencies $E_{i453}$ at 453 Pa is calculated in the following way:

$$E_{i453} = (99.10 + 99.49 + 99.32 + 99.37 + 99.35 + 99.36)/6 = 99.33\%$$

Uncertainty of efficiency $E_i$ at 453 Pa

$$U_{i453} = 1.049 \times 0.13 = 0.14\%$$

Average efficiency at the loading point 465 g and 453 Pa

$$E_{m453} = \frac{1}{465} \left[ 30 \times (70.2 + 83.7)/2 + 30 \times (83.7 + 89.4)/2 + 60 \times (89.4 + 96.7)/2 + 135 \times (96.7 + 99.4)/2 + 115 \times (99.4 + 99.2)/2 + 95 \times (99.2 + 99.3)/2 \right] = 95.86\%,$$

Interpolation of the average efficiency to 450 Pa

$$E_{m450} = (450 - 351)/(453 - 351) \times (95.86 - 95.00) + 95.00 = 95.8\%$$

Uncertainty of the average efficiency at 453 Pa

$$U_{m453} = \frac{1}{465} \left[ 30 \times (1.43 + 0.81)/2 + 30 \times (0.81 + 0.82)/2 + 60 \times (0.82 + 0.60)/2 + 135 \times (0.60 + 0.18)/2 + 115 \times (0.18 + 0.30)/2 + 95 \times (0.30 + 0.14)/2 \right] = 0.43\%$$

Uncertainty of the average efficiency at 450 Pa

The value calculated for the loading point closest to 450 Pa may be used, in this case at 453 Pa.

$$U_{m450} = \pm 0.43\%$$

E.3 Final results at 450 Pa

Average efficiency (0.4 µm) $E_m = (95.8 \pm 0.4)\%$

Filter class F9

Average arrestance $A_m > 99\%$ (99.6\%)

Dust holding capacity $DHC = 461\ g$
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